All comments received in response to this document, including names and addresses when provided, will be a matter of public record. Comments will be summarized and included in the submission for Office of Management and Budget approval.

#### Zach Ducheneaux,

Administrator, Farm Service Agency. [FR Doc. 2021–11296 Filed 5–27–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–05–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### **Forest Service**

Information Collection; Submission Requirements and Acceptance Testing Protocols and Requirements for New or Relocated Aerial Tramways, Aerial and Surface Lifts, Tows, Conveyors, and Funiculars

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Forest Service is seeking public comment on a new information collection, form FS-7300-0006, Submission Requirements and Acceptance Testing Protocols and Requirements for New or Relocated Aerial Tramways, Aerial and Surface Lifts, Tows, Conveyors, and Funiculars. DATES: Comments must be received in writing on or before July 27, 2021 to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable. ADDRESSES: Commenters are encouraged to submit comments by email, if possible. You may submit comments by

any of the following methods:
Email: curt.panter@usda.gov.
Mail: Curt Panter, Intermountain
Region, Regional Office-Engineering,
324 25th Street, Ogden, Utah 84401.

All comments, including names and addresses when provided, will be placed in the record and will be available for public inspection and copying. Comments submitted in response to this notice may be made available to the public through relevant websites and upon request. For this reason, please do not include in your comments information of a confidential nature, such as sensitive personal information or proprietary information. If you send an email comment, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the internet. Please note that responses to this public comment request containing any routine notice about the confidentiality of the communication will be treated as public comments that may be made available to the public notwithstanding the inclusion of the routine notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Curt Panter, Intermountain Region, Regional Office—Engineering, 801–726–2213 or curt.panter@usda.gov. Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf may call the Federal Relay Service at 800–877–8339 between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Submission Requirements and Acceptance Testing Protocols and Requirements for New or Relocated Aerial Tramways, Aerial and Surface Lifts, Tows, Conveyors, and Funiculars.

OMB Number: 0596–NEW. Type of Request: New.

Abstract: Existing form FS–2700–5b, Ski Area Term Special Use Permit, requires the permit holder to be responsible for the design, construction, maintenance, operation, and public safety of improvements authorized by the permit, including new or relocated aerial tramways, aerial and surface lifts, tows, conveyors, and funiculars (hereinafter "passenger ropeways").

In particular, clause II.B of FS-2700-5b requires all plans for development, layout, construction, reconstruction, or alteration of improvements in the permit area, as well as revisions to those plans, to be prepared by a licensed engineer, architect, landscape architect, or other qualified professional acceptable to the authorized officer. Clause II.B further provides that these plans and plan revisions must have written approval from the authorized officer before they are implemented and that the authorized officer may require the holder to furnish as-built plans, maps, or surveys upon completion of the work. New form FS-7300-0006, Submission Requirements and Acceptance Testing Protocols and Requirements, would also be used for passenger ropeways authorized by other types of special use authorizations besides the Ski Area Term Special Use Permit. The submission requirements in new form FS-7300-0006 align with American National Standard Institute Standard B77.1 (ANSI B77.1) for passenger ropeways, which has been adopted by the Forest Service on National Forest System lands. ANSI B77.1 enumerates documentation, certification, and other requirements for ensuring the integrity of the design, construction, maintenance, and operational safety of passenger

ropeways. New form FS-7300-0006 is needed to ensure that holders of ski area permits or other types of special use authorizations authorizing passenger ropeways submit the requisite information and documentation for Forest Service engineers to assess whether new or relocated passenger ropeways meet design, construction, maintenance, and operational safety requirements in ANSI B77.1.

Estimated Annual Burden: 1 burden hour per response.

Type of Respondents: Businesses and other non-federal organizations and entities.

Estimated Annual Number of Respondents: 8.

Estimated Annual Number of Responses per Respondent: 8.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on

Respondents: 72 hours.

Comment is Invited: Comment is invited on (1) whether this collection of information is necessary for the stated purposes and the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical or scientific utility; (2) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All comments received in response to this notice, including names and addresses when provided, will be a matter of public record. Comments will be summarized and included in the submission request provided to the Office of Management and Budget for approval.

# Deborah Oakeson,

Acting Director Engineering, Technology and Geospatial Services.

[FR Doc. 2021–11323 Filed 5–27–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3411-15-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S-52-2021]

# Approval of Subzone Status; Woodfield Distribution LLC, Dayton, New Jersey

On April 8, 2021, the Executive Secretary of the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board docketed an application submitted by the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, grantee of FTZ 49, requesting subzone status subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 49, on behalf of Woodfield Distribution LLC, in Dayton, New Jersey.

The application was processed in accordance with the FTZ Act and Regulations, including notice in the Federal Register inviting public comment (86 FR 19220, April 13, 2021). The FTZ staff examiner reviewed the application and determined that it meets the criteria for approval. Pursuant to the authority delegated to the FTZ Board Executive Secretary (15 CFR 400.36(f)), the application to establish Subzone 49V was approved on May 25, 2021, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, and further subject to FTZ 49's 2,000-acre activation limit.

Dated: May 25, 2021.

## Elizabeth Whiteman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021–11347 Filed 5–27–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-489-831]

Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod From the Republic of Turkey: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Amended Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation; Notice of Amended Final Determination, Amended Antidumping Duty Order; Notice of Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order, in Part

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 20, 2021, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ülasim Sanayi, A.S., et al. v. United States, Consol. Court no. 18–000143, sustaining the Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s second remand determination pertaining to the antidumping duty (AD) investigation of carbon and alloy steel wire rod (wire rod) from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey) covering the period of investigation January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgement is not in harmony with Commerce's amended final determination in that investigation, and that Commerce is amending the amended final determination and the

resulting AD order with respect to the dumping margin assigned to producer and/or exporter Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi A.S. (Icdas) and all other producers and/or exporters. In addition, Commerce is amending the amended final determination to exclude merchandise produced and exported by Habas Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S. (Habas) from the order.

**DATES:** Applicable May 30, 2021. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Emily Halle, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0176.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

On March 28, 2018, Commerce published its *Final Determination* in the AD investigation of wire rod from Turkey. After correcting a ministerial error contained in the *Final Determination*, on May 21, 2018, Commerce published the *Amended Final Determination and Order*, and calculated a revised weighted-average dumping margin of 4.93 percent for Habas, 7.94 percent for Icdas, and 6.44 percent for all other producers/exporters of subject merchandise.

Icdas and Habas appealed Commerce's Final Determination, as modified by the Amended Final Determination and Order. On January 28, 2020, the CIT remanded the Amended Final Determination and Order to Commerce, directing Commerce to recalculate Habas' and Icdas' duty drawback adjustments using a different calculation methodology than the duty neutral methodology Commerce applied in the Final Determination, which allocated the duty drawback over total cost of production.<sup>3</sup>

In its first remand redetermination, issued in April 2020, Commerce recalculated Icdas' and Habas' duty drawback adjustment by adding the full

amount of exempted duties to Habas' and Icdas' U.S. price, and adding the same per-unit duty amount to normal value as a circumstance-of-sale adjustment.<sup>4</sup> As a result of the changes in the First Results of Redetermination. Commerce calculated estimated weighted-average dumping margins of 3.22 percent for Habas, 8.72 percent for Icdas, and 4.78 percent for all other producers/exporters of subject merchandise.<sup>5</sup> The CIT remanded Commerce's determination for a second time, ordering Commerce to recalculate normal value without making a circumstance-of-sale adjustment related to the duty drawback adjustment made to U.S. price.6

In its final remand redetermination, issued in December 2020, Commerce calculated a duty drawback adjustment for Habas and Icdas without making a circumstance-of-sale adjustment to normal value, resulting in a revised weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 percent for Habas, and 4.44 percent for Icdas and all other producers/exporters of subject merchandise.<sup>7</sup>

The CIT sustained Commerce's final redetermination.<sup>8</sup>

#### **Timken Notice**

In its decision in *Timken*, <sup>9</sup> as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*, <sup>10</sup> the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) held that, pursuant to sections 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's May 20, 2021, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce's *Amended Final Determination and Order*. Thus,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Turkey: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Negative Determination of Critical Circumstances, 83 FR 13249 (March 28, 2018) (Final Determination), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (IDM).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Carbon and Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Italy, the Republic of Korea, Spain, the Republic of Turkey, and the United Kingdom: Antidumping Duty Orders and Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determinations for Spain and the Republic of Turkey, 83 FR 23417–18 (May 21, 2018) (Amended Final Determination and Order).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi, A.S., et al. v. United States, Consol. Court No. 18–00143, Slip Op. 20–10 (January 28, 2020) (First Remand Order) at 30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, *Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi, A.S., et al. v. United States,* Consol. Ct. No. 18–00143, Slip Op. 20–10, dated April 27, 2020 (*First Results of Redetermination*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id.* at 44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi, A.S., et al. v. United States, Consol. Court No. 18–00143, Slip Op. 20–137 (Sept. 23, 2020) (Second Remand Order) at 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Second Court Remand, Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi, A.S., et al. v. United States, Consol. Ct. No. 18–00143, Slip Op. 20–137, dated December 11, 2020 (Second Results of Redetermination).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi, A.S., et al. v. United States, Consol. Court No. 18–00143, Slip Op. 21–65 (May 20, 2021) (CIT Final Judgment).

 $<sup>^9</sup>$  See Timken Co. v United States, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (Timken).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (Diamond Sawblades).